

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/020,922	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YASAKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Walter B. Aughenbaugh	<b>Art Unit</b> 1772	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Walter B. Aughenbaugh.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Eugene Perez.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 April 2005

**Time:** ~4:30PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested a copy of the abstract submitted July 28, 2003 (abstract submitted July 28, 2003 could not be located in the IFW file). Eugene Perez faxed a copy of said abstract to Examiner, and Examiner proposed Examiner's amendments to the abstract as detailed in the Supplemental Examiner's Amendment.

---